

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/621,327 | 07/18/2003 | In Duk Song | 2658-0307P | 2517 | |
| 2292 | 7590 01/13/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | TEWART KOLASCH & | WILCZEWSKI, MARY A | | | |
| PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 2822 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|------------------|
| Advisory Action | 10/621,327 | SONG, IN DUK | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | M. Wilczewski | 2822 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 December 2004 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | evoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in | |
| _ | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in | the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee until the final Office action; or (2) as set forth | e der h in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying | the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: | | | |
| $3. \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | l be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed amendme | ent |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | or reconsideration has been cons see Continuation Sheet. | sidered but does NOT place th | e |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | |
| 10. Other: | ω | | |
| | | M. Wilczewski | |

Primary Examiner Tech Center 2800

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claimed method is deemed unpatentable in light of the prior art method of Park '549. Applicant has argued that Park '549 does not disclose "selectively removing the inorganic insulating material, using at least a portion of the patterned organic insulating layer as a mask to define contact holes for the TFT, the gate pad and the data pad", as recited in claim 1. To r move a layer selectively means that only selected portions of that layer are removed. As is clearly evident from Figures 35A - 37A, only those portions of inorganic layer 70 exposed by photoresist mask PR are removed to form contact holes over the TFT (66), the data pad (64, and the gate pad (24). Hence, Park clearly teaches the selective removal of inorganic layer 70. Moreover, it is evident from the Figures 35A-37A that the photoresist mask PR defines contact holes for the TFT, the gate pad and the data pad, as required by the limitations recited in claim 1. The pending claims, as presently drafted, are not deemed to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from that of Park '549.